Supplementary Material

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary	ableS1: Baseline data for KIRC patients

Characteristics	Expression of MTF1			
Characteristics	Low	High	- P value	
Ageª, N=539	269	270	0.282	
<= 60	128 (23.7%)	140 (26.0%)		
> 60	141 (26.2%)	130 (24.1%)		
Gender, N=539	269	270	0.006	
Male	191 (35.4%)	161 (29.9%)		
Female	78 (14.5%)	109 (20.2%)		
Pathologic T stage ^b , N=539	269	270	0.001	
T1&T2	156 (28.9%)	192 (35.6%)		
T3&T4	113 (21.0%)	78 (14.5%)		
Pathologic N stage ^b , N=257	128	129	0.97	
NO	120 (46.7%)	121 (47.1%)		
N1	8 (3.1%)	8 (3.1%)		
Pathologic M stage ^b , N=506	253	253	0.111	
MO	207 (40.9%)	220 (43.5%)		
M1	46 (9.1%)	33 (6.5%)		
Pathologic stage, N=536	268	268	<0.001	
Stage I & Stage II	144 (26.9%)	186 (34.7%)		
Stage III & Stage IV	124 (23.1%)	82 (15.3%)		
Histologic grade, N=531	265	266	<0.001	
G1&G2	102 (19.2%)	146 (27.5%)		
G3&G4	163 (30.7%)	120 (22.6%)		

^a Cut-off value based on previous studies.

^b Diagnosed based on the AJCC, 2017 criteria (the eighth edition).

Characteristics	Expression of MTF1		Duchuc
Characteristics	Low	High	- P value
Age ^a , N=509	254	255	0.030
<= 40	112 (22.0%)	137 (26.9%)	
> 40	142 (27.9%)	118 (23.2%)	
Gender, N=509	254	255	0.199
Female	120 (23.6%)	106 (20.8%)	
Male	134 (26.3%)	149 (29.3%)	
WHO grade ^ь , N=452	226	226	<0.001
G2	128 (28.3%)	89 (19.7%)	
G3	98 (21.7%)	137 (30.3%)	
Histological type, N=509	254	255	<0.001
Oligoastrocytoma	54 (10.6%)	74 (14.5%)	
Astrocytoma	61 (12.0%)	131 (25.7%)	
Oligodendroglioma	139 (27.3%)	50 (9.8%)	
IDH status ^c , N=506	253	253	<0.001
WT	28 (5.5%)	66 (13.0%)	
Mut	225 (44.5%)	187 (37.0%)	

Supplementary TableS2: Baseline data for LGG patients

^a Cut-off value based on previous studies.

^b According to the 2021 WHO classification.

^c Mut: mutation, WT: wild type

Supplementary Figures:



Supplementary Fig. S1. Expression of MTF1 was correlated with clinicopathological features in various cancers. (A) MTF1 expression was related to the stage in DLBC, KIRC, OV and THCA. (B) MTF1 expression was related to grade in BLCA, HNSC, KIRC, LGG and UCEC. (C) MTF1 expression was related to the primary therapy outcome in BLCA, DLBC, HNSC, LGG and PRAD. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.



Supplementary Fig. S2. Expression of MTF1 was correlated with survival across cancers. (**A**) K-M plots of OS analysis in HNSC, KIRC, LGG and READ. (**B**) K-M plots of PFS analysis in ACC, HNSC, KIRC, LGG, LUSC and SKCM. (**C**) K-M plots of DSS analysis in KIRC, LGG and LUSC.



Supplementary Fig. S3. MTF1 expression had diagnostic value in a variety of cancers. ROC curves in ACC (A), CHOL (B), KICH (C), LAML (D), PAAD (E), THCA (F), TGCT (G), UCEC (H), UCS (I).

Cancer	Name	Relation to CpG Island	HR (CI 95%)		P value
KIRC	cg00977164	N_Shore	0.585 (0.384;0.892)		0.013
KIRC	cg04304494	Island	0.625 (0.424;0.921)	⊷ !	0.018
KIRC	cg04496824	S_Shelf	1.18 (0.802;1.736)	⊢ _	0.401
KIRC	cg07031996	N_Shore	1.366 (0.859;2.171)		0.187
KIRC	cg07931069	Island	0.661 (0.438;0.999)	H-H	0.049
KIRC	cg11584499	N Shelf	2.82 (1.539;5.165)	i	0.001
KIRC	cg12477165	N Shore	0.366 (0.204;0.656)	⊷ ⊶ !	0.001
KIRC	ca13470367	S Shore	0.657 (0.403;1.069)	⊢ ∎₩	0.091
KIRC	ca13567986	Open Sea	2.45 (1.394:4.305)	' ⊢ ── →	0.002
KIRC	cg13987712	Island	1 211 (0 762 1 925)		0.418
KIRC	cg16249798	Island	0.462(0.27.0.788)		0.005
KIRC	cg16707128	Island	1.676 (1.123-2.503)		0.012
KIRC	cg19895474	Island	0.565 (0.349:0.916)		0.021
KIRC	cg7628961	Open Sea	0.65 (0.441:0.959)		0.021
LCC	cg00977164	N Shore	1 242 (0 81:1 903)		0.03
LGG	cg00977104	N_Shore	1 201 (0 828-1 723)		0.321
LGG	cg04304494	Island	1.201 (0.636, 1.723)		0.319
LGG	cg04496624	S_Snell	0.427 (0.3,0.608)		<0.001
LGG	cg07031996	N_Shore	2.805 (1.915;4.108)		<0.001
LGG	cg07931069	Island	1.384 (0.973;1.97)		0.071
LGG	cg11584499	N_Shelf	0.794 (0.539;1.169)		0.243
LGG	cg12477165	N_Shore	0.683 (0.475;0.982)		0.04
LGG	cg13470367	S_Shore	0.47 (0.288;0.766)		0.002
LGG	cg13567986	Open_Sea	0.481 (0.301;0.769)		0.002
LGG	cg13987712	Island	1.296 (0.886;1.895)		0.181
LGG	cg16249798	Island	0.753 (0.522;1.088)	⊢ ● − <mark>,</mark>	0.131
LGG	cg16707128	Island	0.5 (0.336;0.746)	⊷ ¦	0.001
LGG	cg19895474	Island	1.405 (0.942;2.096)	÷••	0.095
LGG	cg27628961	Open_Sea	1.744 (1.204;2.526)	. — • — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.003
HNSC	cg00977164	N_Shore	0.843 (0.618;1.15)	⊢ ∎¦-	0.28
HNSC	cg04304494	Island	0.88 (0.644;1.201)	H	0.42
HNSC	cg04496824	S_Shelf	0.803 (0.596;1.081)	r- e - ¹ 4	0.148
HNSC	cg07031996	N_Shore	0.741 (0.553;0.993)	H-i	0.045
HNSC	cg07931069	Island	0.833 (0.609;1.139)	r- e ta	0.251
HNSC	cg11584499	N_Shelf	1.372 (1.029;1.831)	, _	0.031
HNSC	cg12477165	N_Shore	1.135 (0.837;1.539)	FT.	0.414
HNSC	cg13470367	S_Shore	1.223 (0.922;1.623)	⊢ ∎	0.162
HNSC	cg13567986	Open_Sea	0.733 (0.562;0.955)	+ -1	0.021
HNSC	cg13987712	Island	0.84 (0.623;1.132)	⊢ ∎ <u>∔</u>	0.251
HNSC	cg16249798	Island	0.811 (0.603;1.09)	⊢ ⊢ +	0.164
HNSC	ca16707128	Island	1.116 (0.857;1.454)	r.↓	0.415
HNSC	ca19895474	Island	1.218 (0.876:1.693)	⊢	0.241
HNSC	ca27628961	Open Sea	1,125 (0.854;1.483)		0.403
LUSC	cq00977164	N Shore	1 328 (0 913 1 932)	+ +	0 138
LUSC	cq04304494	Island	1 237 (0 854:1 792)		0.261
LUSC	cg04496824	S Shelf	1 091 (0 763:1 561)		0.632
LUSC	cg07031996	N Shore	0.758 (0.552:1.042)		0.088
LUSC	cg07931069	Island	1 316 (0 909:1 903)		0.000
LUSC	cg11594400	N Shalf	1 23 (0 997-1 705)		0.145
11160	cg12/77165	N Share	0.726 (0.510-1.015)		0.213
LUSC	og 12477 100	N_Shore	1.072 (0.319,1.015)	- <u>-</u>	0.001
LUSC	cg13567080	S_Shore	1.002 (0.074.4.00)		0.704
LUSC	cg13567986	Open_Sea	1.202 (0.871;1.66)		0.263
LUSC	cg13987712	Island	0.885 (0.632;1.24)		0.478
LUSC	cg16249798	Island	0.88 (0.62;1.25)		0.476
LUSC	cg16707128	Island	0.804 (0.568;1.139)	⊢ •;•	0.219
LUSC	cg19895474	Island	1.122 (0.814;1.548)		0.481
LUSC	cg27628961	Open_Sea	1.44 (1.019;2.036)		0.039
				1 2 3	

Supplementary Fig. S4. A forest map of risk assessment for different methylation sites in KIRC, LGG, HNSC and LUSC.



Supplementary Figure S5. CNV and methylation associated analyses of MTF1 gene. (**A**) Homozygous CNV profiles in pan-cancer. (**B**) Heterozygous CNV profiles in pan-cancer. P value of correlation analyses between survival including the OS (**C**), PFS (**D**) and DSS (**E**) and CNV. Correlation coefficient analyses between survival including the OS (**F**), PFS (**G**) and DSS (**H**) and methylation. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01.



Supplementary Fig. S6. Correlation curves between MTF1 expression and immune cell infiltration.

Microscopic images of immunofluorescence were uploaded to this link: <u>https://www.jianguoyun.com/p/DR8dNMwQ0vfwChiMrNwEIAA</u>.