

Research Paper



2024; 15(14): 4551-4565. doi: 10.7150/jca.95456

Relationship between sex differences in drinking, smoking, and exercising and the incidence of malignancies and medical procedures: a cross-sectional study of 21,916 participants in China

Mingyan Hao¹, Yifan Li^{2™}, Wenjun Ma³, Lizheng Wang⁴, Janzhong Zheng^{3™}, Yibo Wu^{5™}

- 1. School of Public Health, Shanxi Medical University, Shanxi Hospital Affiliated to Carcinoma Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Shanxi Province Carcinoma Hospital, Carcinoma Hospital Affiliated to Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, 030001, China.
- Hepatobiliary, Pancreatic and Gastrointestinal Surgery, Shanxi Hospital Affiliated to Carcinoma Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Shanxi Province Carcinoma Hospital, Carcinoma Hospital Affiliated to Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030013, China.
- 3. School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, 030001, China.
- Shanxi Hospital Affiliated to Carcinoma Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Shanxi Province Carcinoma Hospital, Carcinoma Hospital Affiliated to Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030013, China.
- 5. School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China.

🖂 Corresponding authors: Janzhong Zheng, Zjzhong4183@163.com, Yifan Li, lyf8028@126.com, Yibo Wu bjmuwuyibo@outlook.com.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2024.02.18; Accepted: 2024.06.03; Published: 2024.06.17

Abstract

Objectives: The unresolved issue of the relationship between sex differences in tea, coffee, and beverage consumption and malignancy risk prompted our study in 2022.

Methods: Logistic proportional hazards models were used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) in our investigation of the associations between cancer risk and tea, coffee, and beverage consumption.

Results: Our findings revealed that frequent consumption of white tea significantly reduced the occurrence of malignant tumours, but this effect was detected only in the fully adjusted model for males (OR: 0.736, 95% CI: 0.095-5.704). The amount of sugar added to coffee was associated with an increased risk of malignancy in a dose-dependent manner (P for trend = 0.001), with significance observed for both men (P for trend = 0.049) and women (P for trend = 0.005) in the final model. Notably, individuals who consumed more than 2100 ml of sugary beverages daily had a statistically significant reduction in malignancy risk (OR: 0.219, 95% CI: 0.052-0.917). Interestingly, the intake of sugary beverages had a protective effect on cancer incidence, with a significant effect on males (P for trend = 0.031) but not females (P for trend = 0.096) in the final model.

Conclusions: Our study highlights the substantial impact of regular white tea consumption on reducing the risk of malignant tumours in males. This study first reported that the potential protective effect of consuming sugary beverages is predominantly observed in males, and a correlation between the amount of sugar added to coffee and a heightened risk of malignancy.

Keywords: malignancy, sex difference, tea consumption, coffee consumption, sugary beverages

Introduction

According to the 2023 Chinese National Cancer Report [1], both the number of cancer cases and deaths in China are continuing to increase. The annual medical expenditure caused by cancer has now exceeded 220 billion yuan. These trends can be attributed to socioeconomic development, changes in lifestyle, and the aging population.

Among lifestyle factors, drinking habits, including tea, water, coffee, and other beverages, play a significant role [2]. Numerous meta-analyses of

epidemiological studies have been conducted in recent years to examine the relationship between tea consumption and cancer outcomes [3,4,5]. Accumulating evidence from cellular, animal, clinical, and epidemiological research suggests that tea consumption is associated with various health benefits [6,7,8,9]. These benefits include chemoprevention of cancers [10], a reduction in chronic inflammation [11], protection against heart and liver diseases [12], management of diabetes, prevention of neurodegenerative diseases, protection against skin aging induced by UVB radiation, and prevention of bone fracture [13]. Furthermore, tea consumption has been found to have other beneficial effects, such as chemosensitizing, antioxidant, and stress-reducing effects [14].

Coffee and tea are popular drinks that are consumed globally [15]. A breast cancer diagnosis revealed a link between increased coffee consumption and enhanced breast cancer and overall survival rates [16]. Likewise, greater tea consumption postdiagnosis may be linked to improved overall survival. Nevertheless, the study delves into sex disparities in the connection between drinking habits and cancer occurrence. In essence, drinking behaviours can be both good and bad for Chinese residents. The ultimate aim of our research was to determine whether different drinking behaviours of males and females are associated with the incidence of cancer.

Methods

Study population

The study was performed on individuals from the Chinese psychological and behavioural survey, which included 10,958 males and 10,958 females in its population-based cohort. The study spanned from July 10, 2022, to September 15, 2022, and included individuals from all 22 provinces, 5 autonomous regions, and 4 municipalities across mainland China. To execute the research, survey teams, consisting of no more than 10 members, were openly recruited and rigorously trained within the designated sample cities. In each city, at least one investigator or survey team was enlisted, whereby the former collected 30-90 questionnaires and the latter collected 100-200 questionnaires in their respective areas.

We employed Wenjuanxing, the survey platform in China (https://www.wjx.cn/ accessed on July 1, 2022). to conduct our survey. Our team personally distributed the questionnaires to residents, carefully maintaining adherence to ethical practices. Each participant was required to sign a consent form to ensure that the participants provided informed consent. The questionnaire was made available online through a provided link, and we diligently recorded the unique questionnaire number for every respondent. In situations where participants had the cognitive ability to comprehend the questions but could not respond, we conducted one-on-one interviews and captured their answers on their behalf.

The inclusion criteria for participation in the study were people aged more than 12 years old, permanent residents, and who travelled for ≤1 month annually. Participation in the study was voluntary, as the participants had the freedom to either independently complete the online questionnaire survey or seek assistance from the investigators if required and were capable of understanding the importance of each question within the survey (Figure 1). The reasoning behind selecting teenagers aged 12 years and older for our study is outlined below. First, we have observed that both teenagers and adults now embrace death education, benefiting from an improved-quality education and an overall societal environment that encourages open conversations about this topic. Teenagers aged 12 years and older have the means and environment necessary to develop their perspectives on life and death, which could lead to mature ideas about hospice care. Second, these teenagers can understand and independently respond to the questionnaire, particularly if they have access to a smartphone. The exclusion criteria were individuals who (1) suffer from mental illnesses or have insanity, (2) are enrolled in analogous research projects, or (3) refuse to collaborate.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis for this research utilized SPSSTM software, specifically version 25.0, by SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA. Statistical analysis involved using descriptive statistics to calculate the mean values and standard deviations (SDs) of continuous variables and determining p values for each variable. The comparative analysis was performed using ANOVA and t-tests to assess the relationships between factors and malignancy-related drinking behaviours. Logistic regression was performed to investigate variables connected to malignancy occurrence, with entry criteria set at P = 0.05 and removal criteria set at P = 0.01.

Results

In this study, a total of 21916 participants from the primary care dataset were included at baseline (Figure 1). The distribution ratio of men to women was 1:1, 9.5% of participants were 12-17 years old, 71.4% of participants were 18-59 years old, and 19.2% of participants were above 60 years old. Table 1 displays the baseline features of participants categorized by the presence of cancer. Participants who had malignancies were older, more likely to smoke, more likely to consume tea, more likely to drink water, more likely to consume coffee, added a greater volume of sugar to coffee, and more likely to drink sugary beverages. Malignancy is also associated with higher BMIs, more frequent use and weekly use, less walking, and more sitting. No notable differences in sex, age, or nationality distribution were observed among participants with normal health status and those with malignancies.



Figure 1. Flowchart for the enrolment of participants

	Table	 Participants' 	baseline (characteristics	categorized	based on	malignance	occurrence
--	-------	-----------------------------------	------------	-----------------	-------------	----------	------------	------------

Variable	All participants (n=21916)	No incident malignant tumours (n=21797)	Incident malignant tumours (n=119)	P value
Sex, n (%)				0.408
Male	10958 (50.0)	10903 (50.0)	55 (46.2)	
Female	10958 (50.0)	10894 (50.0)	64 (53.8)	
Age, n (%)				0.184
12-17 years	2072 (9.5)	2063 (9.5)	9 (7.6)	
18-59 years	15647 (71.4)	15588 (71.5)	59 (49.6)	
≥60 years	4197 (19.2)	4146 (19.0)	51 (42.9)	
Ethnic groups, n (%)				0.407
Han	19970 (91.1)	19859 (91.1)	8 (6.7)	
Minority	1946 (8.9)	1938 (8.9)	111 (93.3)	
BMI, Mean±SD	21.55±4.12	21.55±4.12	21.98±3.72	
Smoking, n (%)				0.013
No	17901 (81.7)	17816 (81.7)	85 (71.4)	
Ordinary cigarettes	2731 (12.5)	2708 (12.4)	23 (19.3)	
Electronic cigarettes	248 (1.1)	248 (1.1)	0	
Ordinary and electronic cigarettes	279 (1.3)	276 (1.3)	3 (2.5)	
Quit smoking	757 (3.5)	749 (3.4)	8 (6.7)	
Wine consumption, n (%)				0.234
0-25 ml	19607 (89.5)	19504 (89.5)	103 (86.6)	
26-50 ml	1010 (4.6)	1000 (4.6)	10 (8.4)	
51-150 ml	720 (3.3)	717 (3.3)	3 (2.5)	
151-450 ml	381 (1.7)	378 (1.7)	3 (2.5)	
>451 ml	198 (0.9)	198 (0.9)	0	

Variable	All participants (n=21916)	No incident malignant tumours (n=21797)	Incident malignant tumours (n=119)	P value
Tea consumption, n (%)				< 0.001
No	10911 (49.8)	10872 (49.9)	39 (32.8)	
Green tea	411 (1.9)	407 (1.9)	4 (3.4)	
Black tea	245 (1.1)	241 (1.1)	4 (3.4)	
Oolong tea	2165 (9.9)	2151 (9.9)	14 (11.8)	
White tea	1670 (7.6)	1665 (7.6)	5 (4.2)	
Yellow tea	118 (0.5)	115 (0.5)	3 (2 5)	
Dark green tea	173 (0.8)	170 (0.8)	3 (2.5)	
Compressed to:	4526 (20.7)	4491 (20.6)	35 (29.4)	
Compressed tea	4326 (20.7) 070 (4 E)	4491 (20.8)	33 (29.4) 8 (6 7)	
Scented tea	979 (4.3)	971 (4.5)	8 (0.7)	
Others (iii)	718 (3.3)	/14 (3.3)	4 (3.4)	0.015
Drinking water, n (%)			()	0.017
≤1200 ml	6351 (29.0)	6319 (29.0)	32 (26.9)	
1200-1499 ml	8037 (36.7)	7994 (36.7)	43 (36.1)	
1500-1699 ml	4805 (21.9)	4784 (21.9)	21 (17.6)	
1700-2099 ml	1621 (7.4)	1612 (7.4)	9 (7.6)	
≥2100 ml	1102 (5.0)	1088 (5.0)	14 (11.8)	
Source of drinking water, n (%)				0.016
Running water	11221 (51.2)	11165 (51.2)	56 (47.1)	
Plain boiled water	2526 (11.5)	2513 (11.5)	13 (10.9)	
Bottled water	1779 (8.1)	1773 (8.1)	6 (5.0)	
Well water	3349 (15.3)	3335 (15.3)	14 (11.8)	
Barrelled water	863 (3.9)	857 (3.9)	6 (5.0)	
Home water purifier	357 (1.9)	352 (1.6)	5 (4.2)	
Community water purifier	1769 (81)	1751 (8 0)	18 (15 1)	
Others	52 (0.2)	51 (0.2)	1 (0.8)	
Coffee consumption classification n (%)	52 (0.2)	51 (0.2)	1 (0.0)	<0.001
None	16004 (77 E)	16010 (77.6)	75 (62.0)	\$0.001
Descharting	10994 (77.3)	10919 (77.0) 500 (0.4)	75 (05.0) F (4.2)	
Decar corree	527 (2.4)	522 (2.4)	5 (4.2) 2 (4.7)	
Instant coffee	211 (1.0)	209 (1.0)	2(1.7)	
Abrasive coffee	409 (1.9)	406 (1.9)	3 (2.5)	
other coffee	2250 (10.3)	2238 (10.3)	12 (10.1)	
Don't know	1525 (7.0)	1503 (6.9)	22 (18.5)	
Coffee consumption per day, n (%)				0.032
None	16994 (77.5)	16889 (77.5)	105 (88.2)	
<250 ml	2715 (12.4)	2711 (12.4)	4 (3.4)	
250 ml	1140 (5.2)	1135 (5.2)	5 (4.2)	
500 ml	583 (2.7)	582 (2.7)	1 (0.8)	
750 ml	152 (0.7)	151 (0.7)	1 (0.8)	
>750 ml	332 (1.5)	329 (1.5)	3 (2.5)	
Amount of sugar in coffee, n (%)		. ,	. ,	< 0.001
No	19594 (89.1)	19438 (89.2)	86 (72.3)	
<2.5 g	858 (3.9)	852 (3.9)	6 (5 0)	
25 g	687 (3.1)	680 (3.1)	7 (5 9)	
5 a	584 (2.7)	575 (2.6)	9(76)	
10 ~	172 (0.8)	168 (0.8)	5 (4.2)	
10 g	00 (0.4)	84 (0.4)	5 (4.2) 6 (E.0)	
15 g	90 (0.4)	84 (0.4)	8 (5.0)	10.001
Sugary beverages per week	8482 (28.2)	(221 (22 2)	FO ((0, F)	< 0.001
Never	8403 (38.3)	8331 (38.2)	72 (60.5)	
<900 ml	9565 (43.8)	9557 (43.8)	38 (31.9)	
1200-1800 ml	2768 (12.6)	2761 (12.7)	7 (5.9)	
>2100 ml	1150 (5.2)	1148 (5.3)	2 (1.7)	
Strenuous exercise per week, n (%)				0.266
None	12599 (57.5)	12534 (57.5)	65 (54.6)	
1 day	2443 (11.1)	2431 (11.2)	12 (10.1)	
2 days	2339 (10.7)	2330 (10.7)	9 (7.6)	
3 days	1496 (6.8)	1483 (6.8)	13 (10.9)	
4 days	1074 (4.9)	1069 (4.9)	5 (4.2)	
5 days	618 (2.8)	613 (2.8)	5 (4.2)	
6 days	406 (1.9)	401 (1.8)	5 (4.2)	
7 days	941 (4.3)	936 (4.3)	5 (4.2)	
The mean time of strenuous exercise per day + SD	25 97+40 30	25 98+40 29	23 71+42 50	0.696
(minutes)	2010/1210100	10.00110.20	2017 12 12:00	0.070
Moderate physical activities per week, n (%)				0.029
None	9953 (45.4)	9899 (45.4)	54 (45 4)	
1 day	2990 (13.6)	2082 (13.7)	8 (67)	
2 dave	2550 (13.0)	2548 (11.7)	16 (13 A)	
2 days	2304 (11.7)	2340 (11.7)	10 (13.4) 20 (16.8)	
5 uays	2400 (11.3)	2440 (11.2) 1208 (C 0)	20 (10.8)	
4 days	1309 (6.0)	1298 (6.0)	11 (9.2)	
5 days	956 (4.4)	950 (4.4)	6 (5.0)	
6 days	392 (1.8)	389 (1.8)	3 (2.5)	
7 days	1286 (5.9)	1285 (5.9)	1 (0.8)	
Mean time of moderate physical activities per day	105.83±180.04	105.60±179.60	148.77±245.57	< 0.001
(minutes)				
Mean amount of walking per day (minutes)	5.01±2.13	5.02±2.31	4.18±2.59	0.007
Mean time of sitting per day (minutes)	447.23±293.58	447.21±293.71	450.99±269.73	0.347



Figure 2. The distribution of all participants in 2022







Figure 3. The distribution of malignancies among male and female participants in 2022: A. male and B. female

Specific information on the malignant cancers included in this study is clearly shown in Figure 2. Details regarding the malignant cancers of men and women examined in this study are prominently displayed in Figure 3.

Table 2 presents the findings of our study examining the relationship between the incidence of cancer and the consumption of tea, coffee, and other beverages. Specifically, we analyzed the impact of the addition of sugar to coffee on the risk of malignancy and observed a dose-dependent association (P for trend = 0.001). Our results indicated that individuals who regularly added more sugar to their coffee face a significantly elevated risk of developing malignancies. Notably, this risk increased with the frequency of coffee consumption and the quantity of sugar added per day. For instance, when comparing individuals who added more than 5 g of sugar to their coffee (including subgroups with 5 g, 10 g, and 15 g of sugar added), we observed a significantly greater risk of malignancy among daily coffee drinkers who added larger volumes of sugar. These findings suggest that excessive consumption of sugar in coffee may contribute to an increased risk of cancer. Therefore, limiting the amount of sugar added to coffee and considering potential alternatives are advisable to reduce this risk. For the 15 g/day group with the highest sugar intake, the odds ratio (OR) was 9.362 (95% CI: 2.680-32.709). Compared with those who did not consume sugary beverages, daily consumers of more than 2100 ml of sugary beverages had a significantly reduced risk of malignancy (OR: 0.219, 95% CI: 0.052-0.917). We observed that the risk estimates for individuals who consumed less than 900 ml of sugary beverages daily (OR: 0.553, 95% CI: 0.366-0.835) and those who consumed between 900-1800 ml (OR: 0.365, 95% CI: 0.164-0.812) were similar. Moreover, the risk of cancer increased as the consumption of sugary beverages and the amount of sugar added to coffee increased. Similarly, the

amount of water consumed was closely linked to a greater incidence of cancer (P for trend=0.027), especially for those who drank more than 2100 ml of water (OR:2.253, 95% CI: 1.130-4.493, P=0.021), than for those who drank less water (\leq 1200 ml). However, no notable variation in the likelihood of cancer was observed for participants consuming 1200-1499 ml (P=0.878), 1500-1699 ml (P=0.210), or 1700-2099 ml (P=0.492) of water. The consumption of yellow tea (OR: 4.033, 95% CI: 1.102-14.765, P=0.035) and compressed tea (OR: 2.023, 95% CI: 1.250-3.273, P=0.004) was significantly correlated with an increased risk of cancer.

Various habits of tea consumption had varying effects on the incidence of malignancy based on sex differences (Table 3 and Figure 4). Among females, except for those who chose secreted tea (OR: 2.909, 95% 1.028-8.232, P=0.044), different CI: tea consumption choices did not seem to have any negative effects on the incidence of malignancy compared to non-tea drinkers. However, in males, different tea consumption patterns were strongly linked to greater chances of developing cancer – malignancy (p for trend= 0.033). Specifically, the groups consuming black tea (OR: 5.563, 95% CI: 1.479-20.925, P=0.011), yellow tea (OR: 7.350, 95% CI: 1.739-31.063, P=0.007), dark green tea (OR: 5.453, 95% CI: 1.04-27.192, P=0.039), and compressed tea (OR: 2.680, 95% CI: 1.358-5.286, P=0.004) had the highest risk of malignancy in the fully adjusted model (Model 3).



Table 2. The relationship between various beverage types and the malignancy risk among participants in the Chinese Health Study (N = 21,916)

Beverage	Cases	Persons	#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	&Model 3 OR (95% CI)
Tea consumption n					
No	39	10911	1.000	1.000	1.000
Green tea	4	411	2.987(1.059-8.425)	2.398(0.837-6.868)	1.894(0.638-5.620)
Black tea	4	245	4.311(1.520-12.226)	4.180(1.456-12.001)	3.024(0.934-9.786)
Oolong tea	14	2165	1.867(1.010-3.451)	1.676(0.900-3.120)	1.536(0.807-2.925)
White tea	5	1670	0.980(0.385-2.499)	0.968(0.378-2.480)	0.815(0.310-2.144)
Yellow tea	3	118	6.215(1.881-20.532)	4.113(1.191-14.202)	4.033(1.102-14.765)
Dark green tea	3	173	5.490(1.646-18.312)	4.206(1.201-14.728)	3.754(0.991-14.220)
Compressed tea	35	4526	2.243(1.412-3.563)	2.199(1.379-3.508)	2.023(1.250-3.273)
Scented tea	8	979	2.546(1.184-5.476)	2.311(1.067-5.005)	1.933(0.866-4.315)
Others	4	718	1.832(0.651-5.160)	1.494(0.522-4.272)	1.232(0.417-3.643)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.001	0.008	0.064
Source of drinking water			0.001	0.000	0.001
Rupping water	56	11221	1.000	1.000	1.000
Plain boiled water	13	2536	1 119(0 610 2 052)	1 140(0 620 2 097)	0.916(0.487.1.722)
Rottlad water	6	1770	0.870(0.275.2.062)	0.812(0.245.1.010)	0.510(0.407-1.722)
Mall sustar	14	2240	0.679(0.575-2.065)	1.040(0.570, 1.002)	0.045(0.244-1.438)
Well water	14	3349	1.017(0.362-1.639)	1.049(0.579-1.902)	0.945(0.517-1.728)
Barrelled water	6	863	1.659(0.710-3.876)	1.595(0.679-3.749)	1.593(0.688-3.795)
Home water purifier	5	357	2.518(0.996-6.364)	1.997(0.774-5.152)	1.286(0.452-3.662)
Community water	18	1769	2.134(1.249-3.649)	1.831(1.062-3.160)	0.815(0.357-1.860)
purifier			/	/	
Others	1	52	4.749(0.640-35.237)	2.762(0.300-25.433)	1.623(0.765-3.422)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.055	0.323	0.298
Coffee consumption classi	fication				
None	75	16994	1.000	1.000	1.000
Decaf coffee	5	527	3.051(1.217-7.650)	2.551(1.003-6.492)	1.340(0.464-3.865)
Instant coffee	2	211	2.937(0.710-12.138)	2.210(0.521-9.372)	0.497(0.084-2.926)
Abrasive coffee	3	409	2.215(0.691-7.100)	1.726(0.531-5.609)	0.575(0.144-2.305)
Other coffee	12	2250	1.688(0.906-3.147)	1.543(0.821-2.901)	0.815(0.357-1.860)
Don't know	22	1525	4.436(2.716-7.245)	3.608(2.165-6.013)	1.623(0.765-2.422)
P for trend			<0.001	< 0.001	0.295
Drinking water					
≤1200 ml	32	6351	1.000	1.000	1.000
1200-1499 ml	43	8037	0.941(0.593-1.493)	0.971(0.609-1.548)	0.963(0.597-1.553)
1500-1699 ml	21	4805	0.733(0.420-1.279)	0.724(0.411-1.274)	0.689(0.385-1.233)
1700-2099 ml	9	1600	0.963(0.457-2.030)	0.925(0.433-1.974)	0.756(0.340-1.682)
2100 ml	14	1102	2 256(1 354 4 825)	2.692(1.402.5.171)	2,253(1,130,4,493)
<i>P</i> for trond	14	1102	0.007	0.005	0.027
Coffee concumption per d			0.007	0.005	0.027
Conee consumption per da	ay	1(004	1 000	1 000	1.000
None	60	10994			1.000
<250 ml	6	2715	0.246(0.091-0.669)	0.251(0.092-0.685)	0.339(0.122-0.942)
250 ml	7	1140	0.739(0.300-1.818)	0.760(0.306-1.885)	0.980(0.387-2.481)
500 ml	9	583	0.288(0.040-2.067)	0.260(0.036-1.891)	0.344(0.047-2.531)
750 ml	5	152	1.008(0.139-7.286)	0.970(0.132-7.104)	1.095(0.041-8.532)
>750 ml	6	332	1.381(0.435-4.385)	1.387(0.425-4.524)	1.279(0.372-4.397)
P for trend			0.085	0.089	0.352
Amount of sugar in coffee					
None	86	19524	1.000	1.000	1.000
<2.5 g	6	858	1.926(0.836-4.438)	1.633(0.699-3.811)	1.269(0.452-3.563)
2.5 g	7	687	2.968(1.359-6.437)	2.216(0.995-4.938)	1.764(0.645-4.824)
5 g	9	584	4.780(2.367-9.650)	3.850(1.876-7.902)	3.518(1.406-8.805)
10 g	5	173	7.968(3.145-19.883)	6.316(2.452-16.272)	5.690(1.816-17.830)
15 g	6	90	18.433(7.730-43.955)	10.685(4.180-27.312)	9.362(2.680-32.709)
<i>P</i> for trend			<0.001	<0.001	0.001
Sugary beverages per wee	k				
Never	72	8403	1.000	1 000	1.000
<900 ml	38	9595	0 474(0 320-0 704)	0 476(0 319-0 710)	0.553(0.366-0.835)
1200-1800 ml	7	2768	0.295/0.135-0.642)	0.294(0.134-0.646)	0.365(0.164-0.812)
>2100 ml	, 2	1150	0.225(0.133-0.042) 0.199(0.049-0.814)	$0.22 \pm (0.13 \pm 0.040)$ 0.188(0.046-0.774)	0.219(0.052_0.917)
P for trond	4	1130	0.127(0.049-0.014)	<0.001	0.002
r for trend			<0.001	NU.001	0.002

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

#Model 1 was adjusted for age at recruitment, sex, drinking habit groups, nationality, and BMI.

*Model 2 was modified to include smoking, alcohol consumption, weekly strenuous exercise, daily strenuous exercise, weekly moderate physical activity, daily moderate physical a

&Model 3 was adjusted for all variables in Model 2, plus the intake of different types of tea, source of drinking water, coffee consumption classification, volume of drinking water per day, coffee consumption per day, amount of sugar in coffee, and sugary beverages per week.

Table 4 and Figure 5 show that the choice of the drinking water source had no impact on the

occurrence of malignancy for either men (P for trend= 0.201) or women (P for trend= 0.949), except for the

male subgroup using community water purifiers, which showed significant outcomes (OR: 2.448, 95% CI: 1.179-5.081, P=0.016).

The type and amount of coffee consumed were not related to the development of cancer in either male or female participants (Table 5 and Figure 6).

Similarly, the volume of water consumed was not associated with the likelihood of developing cancer in individuals of either sex (Table 6 and Figure 7), except for the male subgroup who consumed more than 2100 ml of water (OR: 2.989, 95% CI: 1.150-7.770, P=0.025), in the fully adjusted model (Model 3).

The amount of coffee consumed daily was not related to the incidence of malignancy, regardless of sex, and this effect remained consistent in both the crude and fully adjusted models (Figure 8). A notable correlation between cancer incidence and the level of sugar added to coffee was observed among both genders (p for trend= 0.049 for males, p for trend= 0.005 for females). However, after adjusting for various factors (Model 3), the biological effects varied among the different groups, depending on the amount of sugar added to the coffee. In the male subgroup, consuming 15 g of sugar (equivalent to three teaspoons) added to coffee had potentially harmful effects on the germination of malignancy (P=0.003). In the female population, the consumption of 10 g of sugar (equivalent to two teaspoons) in coffee was correlated with a heightened cancer risk (P<0.001). This information is presented in Table 7, as well as Figures 9 and 11.

Tab	le 3	.Sex	differences in	n tea	consumption	and	the ris	k of	f malignancy	1
-----	------	------	----------------	-------	-------------	-----	---------	------	--------------	---

Tea	No	Green tea	Black tea	Oolong tea	White tea	Yellow tea	Dark green tea	Compressed	Scented tea	Others	P for
consumption								tea			trend
Male											
#Model 1	1.000	1.589	6.188	2.500	0.618	13.497	11.929	2.868	2.117	2.181	< 0.001
OR (95% CI)		(0.209-12.709)	(1.770-21.627)	(1.101 - 5.681)	(0.082 - 4.676)	(3.813-47.781)	(3.341-42.589)	(1.506 - 5.464)	(0.614 - 7.308)	(0.497 - 9.563)	
*Model 2	1.000	1.079	5.948	2.287	0.718	8.173	8.257	2.785	1.757	1.813	0.005
OR (95% CI)		(0.137-8.492)	(1.668 - 21.205)	(0.990-5.283)	(0.094 - 5.478)	(2.131-31.348)	(2.077-32.823)	(1.448-5.358)	(0.497 - 6.212)	(0.403 - 8.165)	
&Model 3	1.000	1.023	5.563	2.016	0.736	7.350	5.453	2.680	1.370	1.464	0.033
OR (95% CI)		(0.125 - 8.388)	(1.479-20.925)	(0.829 - 4.902)	(0.095 - 5.704)	(1.739-31.063)	(1.04-27.192)	(1.358-5.286)	(0.361-5.200)	(0.305 - 7.040)	
Female											
#Model 1	1.000	4.537	2.496	1.335	1.118	0	0	1.736	3.135	1.661	0.292
OR (95% CI)		(1.341-15.351)	(0.332 - 18.970)	(0.505 - 3.526)	(0.383 - 3.565)			(0.860-3.535)	(1.170 - 8.403)	(0.387 - 7.129)	
*Model 2	1.000	3.770	1.811	1.170	1.065	0	0	1.639	2.858	1.202	0.506
OR (95% CI)		(1.065 - 13.342)	(0.204 - 16.100)	(0.437 - 3.134)	(0.361 - 3.141)			(0.805-3.338)	(1.054-7.752)	(0.268 - 5.378)	
&Model 3	1.000	3.334	0.811	1.104	0.902	0	0	1.608	2.909	1.393	0.579
OR (95% CI)		(0.892-12.532)	(0.029-22.359)	(0.399-3.056)	(0.283-2.873)			(0.767-3.371)	(1.028-8.232)	(0.308-6.292)	

I able 4. Sex differences in the source of drinking water and the risk of malignand	able	4. Se>	differenc	es in th	e source	of drinking	y water a	and th	e risk o	f malignand	зу
--	------	--------	-----------	----------	----------	-------------	-----------	--------	----------	-------------	----

Source of drinking water	Running water	Plain boiled water	Bottled water	Well water	Barrelled water	Home water purifier	Community water purifier	Others	P for trend
Male									
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.409 (0.637-3.114)	0.501 (0.118-2.129)	1.162 (0.523-2.582)	1.805 (0.543-6.008)	3.063 (0.913-10.272)	2.549 (1.284-5.060)	9.925 (1.272-77.467)	0.033
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.472 (0.660-3.282)	0.456 (0.107-1.951)	1.151 (0.514-2.577)	1.474 (0.433-5.020)	2.168 (0.607-7.737)	2.255 (1.113-4.571)	5.300 (0.462-60.854)	0.219
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.970 (0.402-2.340)	0.309 (0.065-1.473)	0.933 (0.406-2.144)	1.193 (0.422-4.427)	1.531 (0.385-6.086)	2.448 (1.179-5.081)	2.102 (0.088-50.363)	0.201
Female									
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.841 (0.324-2.181)	1.483 (0.511-4.306)	0.867 (0.356-2.109)	1.514 (0.457-5.017)	1.984 (0.463-8.493)	1.665 (0.687-4.037)	0	0.856
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.869 (0.333-2.565)	1.445 (0.494-4.266)	0.921 (0.376-2.257)	1.639 (0.490-5.482)	1.784 (0.391-8.137)	1.435 (0.584-3.530)	0	0.942
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.804 (0.300-2.153)	1.091 (0.354-3.357)	0.773 (0.308-1.940)	1.752 (0.512-5.992)	1.677 (0.282-9.967)	1.325 (0.511-3.434)	0	0.949

Table 5. Sex d	lifferences in the	coffee consump	otion classification	and risk o	f malignancy
----------------	--------------------	----------------	----------------------	------------	--------------

Coffee consumption classification	None	Decaf coffee	Instant coffee	Abrasive Coffee	Other coffee	Don't know	P for trend
Male							
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	2.517 (0.596-10.626)	4.741 (1.118-20.093)	3.908 (0.926-16.490)	2.462 (1.131-5.357)	4.398 (2.212-8.746)	< 0.001
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	2.067 (0.478-8.948)	3.430 (0.759-15.497)	3.041 (0.695-13.312)	2.197 (0.984-4.906)	3.252 (1.560-6.778)	0.021
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.127 (0.222-5.729)	0.856 (0.115-6.367)	0.975 (0.155-6.130)	1.309 (0.438-3.913)	1.478 (0.500-4.370)	0.974
Female							
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	3.602 (1.086-11.945)	0	1.113 (0.151-8.212)	1.008 (0.352-2.889)	4.346 (2.153-8.773)	0.001
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	3.004 (0.883-10.225)	0	0.977 (0.131-7.292)	0.944 (0.325-2.743)	3.780 (1.830-7.807)	0.009
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.464 (0.336-6.376)	0	0.346 (0.035-3.453)	0.475 (0.123-1.841)	1.654 (0.558-4.903)	0.336



Figure 5. Sankey plot of sex differences in the source of drinking water and risk of malignancy



On the other hand, the intake of sugary beverages may have a protective effect on the occurrence of cancer. This effect was significant for men (P for trend= 0.031) but not for women (P for trend= 0.096). For men who consumed less than 900

ml of sugary beverages, a 54% reduction in the chance of developing cancer was observed (OR: 0.461, 95% CI: 0.251-0.847; P=0.013). This information is presented in Table 8, as well as Figures 10 and 12.

Table 6. Sex differences in the volume of drinking water and risk of malignancy

Drinking water	≤1200 ml	1200-1499 ml	1500-1699 ml	1700-2099 ml	≥2100 ml	P for trend
Male						
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.254 (0.642-2.449)	0.618 (0.263-1.451)	1.424 (0.565-3.589)	3.048 (1.291-7.197)	0.017
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.311 (0.663-2.595)	0.611 (0.256-1.460)	1.441 (0.560-3.711)	3.146 (1.289-7.676)	0.016
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.290 (0.632-2.634)	0.545 (0.220-1.348)	1.172 (0.422-3.250)	2.989 (1.150-7.770)	0.022
Female						
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.692 (0.357-1.341)	0.905 (0.435-1.886)	0.483 (0.112-2.087)	2.128 (0.788-5.744)	0.219
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.718 (0.368-1.400)	0.900 (0.426-1.903)	0.466 (0.105-2.075)	2.302 (0.825-6.423)	0.213
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.736 (0.369-1.467)	0.892 (0.408-1.949)	0.425 (0.091-1.997)	2.174 (0.725-6.516)	0.300



Figure 7. Sankey plot of sex differences in the volume of drinking water and risk of malignancy



Table 7. Sex differences in the amount of sugar added to the coffee and the risk of malignancy

Amount of sugar added to coffee	None	<2.5 g	2.5 g	5 g	10 g	15 g	P for trend
Male							
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	2.464 (0.878-6.920)	4.213 (1.643-10.799)	6.149 (2.375-15.919)	2.975 (0.402-22.006)	20.938 (7.093-61.808)	< 0.001
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	2.121 (0.731-6.154)	2.546 (0.932-6.957)	5.192 (1.922-14.030)	2.626 (0.340-20.303)	11.807 (3.593-38.802)	< 0.001
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.403 (0.352-5.586)	1.588 (0.408-6.181)	4.096 (1.115-15.049)	1.750 (0.183-16.778)	11.243 (2.224-56.843)	0.049
Female							
#Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.316 (0.315-5.494)	1.681 (0.402-7.036)	3.694 (1.299-10.505)	12.986 (4.477-37.662)	14.881 (3.405-65.031)	< 0.001
*Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.068 (0.247-4.610)	1.410 (0.332-5.985)	3.184 (1.099-9.220)	12.067 (3.978-36.598)	8.273 (1.535-44.583)	< 0.001
&Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	1.294 (0.240-6.974)	1.264 (0.229-6.978)	3.341 (0.852-13.103)	15.870 (3.663-68.759)	5.896 (0.594-58.536)	0.005



Figure 9. Sankey plot of sex differences in the amount of sugar added to the coffee and the risk of malignancy



Discussion

Tea, a widely consumed traditional beverage, possesses a wide array of health benefits due to its natural attributes [17]. Research has primarily concentrated on the relationships between green tea and black tea with cancer. However, a recent study explored the impact of white tea on various types of cancer cells, expanding our understanding of its potential ability to combat cancer. The distinct properties of white tea make it promising for the development of novel anticancer treatments [18]. Studies have shown that tea can augment the production of antioxidant enzymes, impede the growth of cancer cells, and facilitate apoptosis [19]. These findings further highlight the significant role that tea can play in cancer prevention and intervention. However, an extensive investigation of 500,000 Chinese individuals did not find a clear connection between drinking tea and the occurrence of cancer [20]. On the other hand, a subsequent study in the U.S. followed 98,786 postmenopausal women aged 50 to 79 years and discovered that those who consumed one or more sugary drinks per day had a greater chance of developing liver cancer and dying

from chronic liver illness [21]. Undoubtedly, tea has emerged as an exceptional beverage with abundant health benefits. While the potential of white tea for combating cancer cells is a captivating avenue for further research, continued explorations of the intricate relationship between tea consumption and cancer incidence in diverse populations are essential.



Figure 11. Forest plot of sex differences in the amount of sugar added to coffee and the risk of malignancy: A. Model 1, B. Model 2, and C. Model 3

Table 8. Sex differences in sugars consumed per week and the risk of malignancy

Sugary beverages per week	Never	<900 ml	1200-1800 ml	≥2100 ml	P for trend
Male					
Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.377 (0.214-0.666)	0.375 (0.148-0.952)	0.185 (0.025-1.350)	0.002
Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.392 (0.22-0.698)	0.394 (0.153-1.017)	0.175 (0.024-1.291)	0.003
Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.461 (0.251-0.847)	0.492 (0.183-1.323)	0.179 (0.023-1.399)	0.031
Female					
Model 1 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.612 (0.35-1.069)	0.197 (0.047-0.827)	0.223 (0.03-1.641)	0.039
Model 2 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.599 (0.34-1.055)	0.187 (0.044-0.793)	0.173 (0.023-1.321)	0.027
Model 3 OR (95% CI)	1.000	0.665 (0.369-1.198)	0.24 (0.056-1.031)	0.206 (0.026-1.623)	0.096



Figure 12. Forest plot of sex differences in sugary beverage consumption per week and the risk of malignancy: A. Model I, B. Model 2, and C. Model 3

An examination of 33,106 teenagers revealed a correlation between a high intake of basic sugars and sweet drinks in youth and a heightened chance of developing typical adenoma, notably rectal adenoma [22]. In a separate investigation within the Iowa Women's Health Study group, the consumption of water containing elevated nitrate levels may be associated with the onset of endometrial cancer [23]. Likewise, a case-control analysis conducted in Spain explored the potential connection between water consumption levels and prostate cancer risk [24]. Approximately 37 prospective cohort studies provided evidence suggesting that consuming added sugars and sugary beverages increases the likelihood of developing cancer [25]. The latest prospective study with Swedish adults, totalling 70,832 subjects from the Swedish Mammography Cohort, showed that a high intake of sweetened drinks might increase the chance of biliary tract cancer [26]. Findings from a combined analysis of 22 global studies, with a sample of 9,438 cases and 20,451 controls, revealed an inverse connection between tea intake and stomach cancer [27]. Recent research has consistently indicated that the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is linked to a greater risk of liver cancer [28], as well as pancreatic cancer [29], along with increased mortality from colorectal cancer and an increased risk of early-onset colorectal cancer in women [30]. Furthermore, an association between obesity and certain cancer types is known [31].

Both previous and recent studies have shown a correlation between water consumption and the risk of bladder and kidney cancers, primarily due to varying levels of carcinogens present in drinking water [32,33,34]. Our findings support the evidence that overall fluid intake is linked to a decrease in bladder cancer risk [35]. Specifically, higher water consumption is also associated with a reduced risk of malignancy.

Sex disparities in drinking habits and their impacts on the incidence of malignancies were investigated in our study. We found that different patterns of tea consumption were closely linked to the occurrence of malignant cancers. While most types of tea were associated with an increased risk of malignancy, white tea was an exception. Regular consumption of white tea significantly decreased the likelihood of developing malignant tumours, particularly in males. However, tea consumption did not affect the incidence of malignancy in females. Interestingly, the source of drinking water did not have any significant impact on the risk of malignancy, except for a possible preventive effect of moderate water intake (less than 2100 ml). This effect was more pronounced in males consuming 1500-1699 ml of water per day. In contrast, our study revealed no association between coffee consumption and the risk of malignancy in either men or women. However, the amount of sugar added to coffee was positively correlated with the risk of developing malignancy, with higher sugar intake leading to a greater risk. This trend was consistent across both sexes. Surprisingly, our findings revealed a reverse association between sugary beverage consumption and the malignancy risk. The more sugary beverages consumed, the lower the risk of developing malignancy, and this effect was observed in both men and women.

Overall, our study highlights the complex relationships between drinking habits and the incidence of malignancies. While white tea, moderate water, and sugary beverage intake may have protective effects, caution should be exercised when adding sugar to coffee. Further research is needed to better understand these patterns and their implications for cancer prevention.

Our study has several limitations. First, the potential for inaccuracies in categorizing caffeine, coffee, and tea intake is a notable limitation because we solely relied on baseline intake information, which may not have accurately reflected participants' actual consumption patterns over time. Moreover, the lack of follow-up data on the consumption of different types of tea is another limitation of our study. By not distinguishing between various types of tea, we may have overlooked potential differences in their cancer risk profiles.

Additionally, data on additional possible cancer risk factors, including familial history, exposure to radiation or chemicals, and dietary patterns, were not available in our study. These factors could have confounded our findings or influenced the observed associations. Consequently, the applicability of our findings to a wider population could be restricted.

In summary, our findings suggest a sex difference in the cancer risk for men and women who sweetened coffee consume and beverages. Specifically, according to our research, consuming large amounts of sweetened caffeinated beverages might lower the likelihood of cancer among males. Nevertheless, these results should be interpreted carefully in light of the mentioned constraints. Moving forward, we hope that our study will promote further research aimed at identifying the specific components of coffee and tea responsible for potential protective effect. Additionally, this exploring the role of sugar in cancer risk would also be of interest.

Abbreviations

OR: Odds ratio; CIs: Confidence intervals; BMI:

Boss mass index; SD: Standard deviation.

Acknowledgments

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to my mentor, Zheng Jianzhong, for his invaluable guidance and illuminating recommendations during the research project. Additionally, I want to thank Li Yifan for aiding in methodology and data, Ma Wenjun for valuable input in data analysis and software assistance, Wu Yibo for overseeing and validating the study, and Wang Lizheng for support in project management and resource allocation.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The research included individuals as subjects and was sanctioned by the Ethics Research Committee of the Health Culture Research Centre of Shaanxi (JKWH-2022-02). Informed consent was acquired from all participants before data collection. Before engaging in the study, participants provided their informed consent.

Availability of data and materials

The data can be requested through reasonable inquiry. They are not accessible to the public but can be obtained from the authors upon request. The data utilized in this research were collected from the Psychology and Behaviours' Investigation of Chinese Residents (PBICR) conducted by Yibo Wu, the corresponding author.

Author contributions

MH drafted the initial manuscript, managed the data, performed the formal analysis, and collaborated on the methodology section. YL supervised the overall data collection, research, and methodology of the investigation. WM managed the data, utilized software, and collaborated on the methodology section. LW acted as the project coordinator. YW provided oversight and validation of the research. JZ was in charge of composing, reviewing, and revising the research article, as well as the overall conception of the study. All authors have reviewed and authorized the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Wang Y, Yan Q, Fan C, et al. Overview and countermeasures of cancer burden in China. Sci China Life Sci. 2023;66(11):2515-2526.
- Papies EK. The Psychology of Desire and Implications for Healthy Hydration. Ann Nutr Metab. 2020;76 Suppl 1:31-36.
- Arthur R, Kirsh VA, Rohan TE. Associations of coffee, tea and caffeine intake with risk of breast, endometrial and ovarian cancer among Canadian women. Cancer Epidemiol. 2018;56:75-82.

- Porro C, Cianciulli A, Panaro MA. A cup of coffee for a brain-long life. Neural Regen Res. 2024;19(1):158-159.
- Yi M, Wu X, Zhuang W, et al. Tea Consumption and Health Outcomes: Umbrella Review of Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies in Humans. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2019;63(16):e1900389.
- Kaluza J, Stackelberg O, Harris HR, Björck M, Wolk A. Tea consumption and the risk of abdominal aortic aneurysm. Br J Surg. 2022;109(4):346-354
- Zhang Y, Yang H, Li S, et al. Consumption of coffee and tea and risk of developing stroke, dementia, and poststroke dementia: A cohort study in the UK Biobank. PLoS Med. 2021;18(11):e1003830. Published 2021 Nov 16.
- Li M, Duan Y, Wang Y, et al. The effect of Green green tea consumption on body mass index, lipoprotein, liver enzymes, and liver cancer: An updated systemic review incorporating a meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2024;64(4):1043-1051.
- Inoue-Choi M, Ramirez Y, Cornelis MC, et al. Tea Consumption and All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in the UK Biobank: A Prospective Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med. 2022 Sep;175(9):1201-1211.
- Perletti G, Magri V, Vral A, et al. Green tea catechins for chemoprevention of prostate cancer in patients with histologically-proven HG-PIN or ASAP. Concise review and meta-analysis. Arch Ital Urol Androl. 2019;91(3):10.4081
- Liu H, Guan H, He F, et al. Therapeutic actions of tea phenolic compounds against oxidative stress and inflammation as central mediators in the development and progression of health problems: A review focusing on microRNA regulation. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2023 Apr 19:1-31.
- Samynathan R, Thiruvengadam M, Nile SH, et al. Recent insights on tea metabolites, their biosynthesis and chemo-preventing effects: A review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2023;63(18):3130-3149.
- Nash LA, Ward WE. Tea and bone health: Findings from human studies, potential mechanisms, and identification of knowledge gaps. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2017;57(8):1603-1617.
- Feng X, Chen M, Song H, et al. A systemic review on Liubao tea: A time-honored dark tea with distinctive raw materials, process techniques, chemical profiles, and biological activities. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2023;22(6):5063-5085.
- Karabegović I, Portilla-Fernandez E, Li Y, et al. Epigenome-wide association meta-analysis of DNA methylation with coffee and tea consumption. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):2830. Published 2021 May 14.
- Farvid MS, Spence ND, Rosner BA, Willett WC, Eliassen AH, Holmes MD. Post-diagnostic coffee and tea consumption and breast cancer survival. Br J Cancer. 2021;124(11):1873-1881.
- Xu XY, Zhao CN, Cao SY, Tang GY, Gan RY, Li HB. Effects and mechanisms of tea for the prevention and management of cancers: An updated review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2020;60(10):1693-1705.
- Liu L, Liu B, Li J, et al. Responses of Different Cancer Cells to White Tea Aqueous Extract. J Food Sci. 2018;83(10):2593-2601.
- Thomas R, Greef B, McConnachie A, Stanley B, Williams M. Dietary consumption of tea and the risk of prostate cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Br J Nutr. 2022;128(4):653-658
- Li X, Yu C, Guo Y, et al. Association between tea consumption and risk of cancer: a prospective cohort study of 0.5 million Chinese adults. Eur J Epidemiol. 2019;34(8):753-763.
- Zhao L, Zhang X, Coday M, et al. Sugar-Sweetened and Artificially Sweetened Beverages and Risk of Liver Cancer and Chronic Liver Disease Mortality. JAMA. 2023;330(6):537-546.
- Joh HK, Lee DH, Hur J, et al. Simple Sugar and Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Intake During Adolescence and Risk of Colorectal Cancer Precursors, Gastroenterology. 2021;161(1):128-142.e20.
- Medgyesi DN, Trabert B, Sampson J, et al. Drinking Water Disinfection Byproducts, Ingested Nitrate, and Risk of Endometrial Cancer in Postmenopausal Women. Environ Health Perspect. 2022;130(5):57012. doi:10.1289/EHP10207
- Schmidt S. Nitrates and Prostate Cancer: Long-Term Drinking Water Exposures Associated with Risk of Tumors. Environ Health Perspect. 2023;131(5):54003.
- Makarem N, Bandera EV, Nicholson JM, Parekh N. Consumption of Sugars, Sugary Foods, and Sugary Beverages in Relation to Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Studies. Annu Rev Nutr. 2018;38:17-39.
- Larsson SC, Giovannucci EL, Wolk A. Sweetened Beverage Consumption and Risk of Biliary Tract and Gallbladder Cancer in a Prospective Study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016 Jun 8;108(10):djw125.
- Murphy N, Campbell PT, Gunter MJ. Are sugar-sweetened beverages contributing to the rising occurrence of colorectal cancer in young adults? Gut. 2021 Dec;70(12):2222-2223.
- Zoltick ES, Smith-Warner SA, Yuan C, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage, artificially sweetened beverage, sugar intake, and colorectal cancer survival. Br J Cancer. 2021;125(7):1016-1024.
- Davis EW, McCann SE, Joseph JM, et al. Sugar-Sweetened and Artificially Sweetened Beverage Consumption and Pancreatic Cancer: A Retrospective Study. Nutrients. 2023 Jan 5;15(2):275.
- Hur J, Otegbeye E, Joh HK, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage intake in adulthood and adolescence and risk of early-onset colorectal cancer among women. Gut. 2021 Dec;70(12):2330-2336.
- Hodge AM, Bassett JK, Milne RL, English DR, Giles GG. Consumption of sugar-sweetened and artificially sweetened soft drinks and risk of obesity-related cancers. Public Health Nutr. 2018;21(9):1618-1626.

- 32. Zhang Y, Liu M, Wang J, Han K, Han F, Wang B, Xie S, Yuan C, Zhao M, Li S,
- Wang J. Elu M, Wang J, Han K, Hain F, Wang B, Xie S, Huan C, Zhao M, El S, Wang J. Bibliometric analysis of the association between drinking water pollution and bladder cancer. Front Oncol. 2023 Jun 29;13:1170700. Qiu Y, Chen X, Yan X, et al. Gut microbiota perturbations and neurodevelopmental impacts in offspring rats concurrently exposure to incorrent described for a concurrently exposure to 33. inorganic arsenic and fluoride. Environ Int. 2020;140:105763.
 34. Rosenberg K. Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Intake Linked to Early-Onset
- Colorectal Cancer Risk. Am J Nurs. 2021;121(10):52.
- 35. Jochems SHJ, van Osch FHM, Reulen RC, et al. Total Fluid Intake and the Risk of Recurrence in Patients With Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: A Prospective Cohort Study. Bladder Cancer. 2018 Jul 30;4(3):303-310.