



1
2 **Figure S1. Screening of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)**
3 (A) Heatmap showing the expression levels of DEGs and(B) Volcano plot illustrating
4 the DEGs.



1
 2 **Figure S2. Immune regulation genes, immune checkpoint genes, and immune**
 3 **infiltration in pan-cancer cells are correlated with CCDC8 expression**
 4 CCDC8 expression is correlated with (A) five different immune regulatory genes,
 5 (B) two different immune checkpoint genes, and (C) 22 types of immune cell infiltration.
 6 *P < 0.05

7
 8
 9
 10

1 **Table S1**

TABLE S1 | Clinical information of patients in cohort 1. Bold indicates p-values less than 0.05.

Characteristics	Low(N=204)	High(N=204)	Total(N=408)	P-value
Age				0.03
<60	53(12.99%)	34(8.33%)	87(21.32%)	
>=60	151(37.01%)	170(41.67%)	321(78.68%)	
Sex				0.04
Female	44(10.78%)	63(15.44%)	107(26.23%)	
Male	160(39.22%)	141(34.56%)	301(73.77%)	
Grade				0.02
High	186(45.93%)	198(48.89%)	384(94.81%)	
Low	16(3.95%)	5(1.23%)	21(5.19%)	
Missing			3	
Stage				3.6e-3
I	2(0.49%)	0(0.0e+0%)	2(0.49%)	
II	80(19.70%)	50(12.32%)	130(32.02%)	
III	64(15.76%)	76(18.72%)	140(34.48%)	
IV	56(13.79%)	78(19.21%)	134(33.00%)	
Missing			2	

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

1 **Table S2**

TABLE S2 | Clinical information of patients in cohort 2. Bold indicates p-values less than 0.05.

Characteristics	Low(N=50)	High(N=50)	Total(N=100)	p-value
Age				0.67
<60	19(19.00%)	16(16.00%)	35(35.00%)	
>=60	31(31.00%)	34(34.00%)	65(65.00%)	
Sex				0.55
Female	5(5.00%)	8(8.00%)	13(13.00%)	
Male	45(45.00%)	42(42.00%)	87(87.00%)	
Stage				0.55
I/II	45(45.00%)	42(42.00%)	87(87.00%)	
III/IV	8(8.00%)	5(5.00%)	13(13.00%)	

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

1 **Table S3**

TABLE S3 | Clinical information of patients in cohort 3. Bold indicates p-values less than 0.05.

Characteristics	Low(N=174)	High(N=174)	Total(N=348)	p-value
Sex				0.24
Female	33(9.48%)	43(12.36%)	76(21.84%)	
Male	141(40.52%)	131(37.64%)	272(78.16%)	
Binary Response				0.01
CR/PR	44(14.77%)	24(8.05%)	68(22.82%)	
SD/PD	107(35.91%)	123(41.28%)	230(77.18%)	
Missing			50	
Enrollment IC				0.85
IC0	48(13.79%)	51(14.66%)	99(28.45%)	
IC1	65(18.68%)	67(19.25%)	132(37.93%)	
IC2	61(17.53%)	56(16.09%)	117(33.62%)	
Immune phenotype				0.24
Desert	45(15.85%)	31(10.92%)	76(26.76%)	
Excluded	63(22.18%)	71(25.00%)	134(47.18%)	
Inflamed	38(13.38%)	36(12.68%)	74(26.06%)	
Missing			64	

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

1 **Table S4. Analysis of six different algorithms and bladder cancer signatures to**
 2 **correlate CCDC8 and molecular subtypes in cohort 1. Bold indicates p-values less**
 3 **than 0.05.**

Characteristics	High(N=204)	Low(N=204)	Total(N=408)	p-value
Baylor subtype				0.02
Basal	83(20.34%)	60(14.71%)	143(35.05%)	
Differentiated	121(29.66%)	144(35.29%)	265(64.95%)	
UNC subtype				1.3e-8
Basal	119(29.17%)	61(14.95%)	180(44.12%)	
Luminal	85(20.83%)	143(35.05%)	228(55.88%)	
CIT subtype				5.6e-20
MC1	42(10.29%)	120(29.41%)	162(39.71%)	
MC2	22(5.39%)	3(0.74%)	25(6.13%)	
MC3	4(0.98%)	14(3.43%)	18(4.41%)	
MC4	39(9.56%)	0(0.0e+0%)	39(9.56%)	
MC5	1(0.25%)	0(0.0e+0%)	1(0.25%)	
MC6	3(0.74%)	1(0.25%)	4(0.98%)	
MC7	93(22.79%)	66(16.18%)	159(38.97%)	
Lund subtype				7.6e-20
Ba/Sq	30(7.35%)	23(5.64%)	53(12.99%)	
Ba/Sq-Inf	35(8.58%)	9(2.21%)	44(10.78%)	
GU	2(0.49%)	30(7.35%)	32(7.84%)	
GU-Inf	22(5.39%)	7(1.72%)	29(7.11%)	
Mes-like	26(6.37%)	8(1.96%)	34(8.33%)	
Sc/NE-like	12(2.94%)	5(1.23%)	17(4.17%)	
Uro-Inf	21(5.15%)	2(0.49%)	23(5.64%)	
UroA-Prog	22(5.39%)	72(17.65%)	94(23.04%)	
UroB	5(1.23%)	19(4.66%)	24(5.88%)	
UroC	29(7.11%)	29(7.11%)	58(14.22%)	
MDA subtype				1.9e-15
Basal	84(20.59%)	59(14.46%)	143(35.05%)	
Luminal	34(8.33%)	111(27.21%)	145(35.54%)	
p53-like	86(21.08%)	34(8.33%)	120(29.41%)	
TCGA subtype				9.7e-21
Basal squamous	82(20.10%)	51(12.50%)	133(32.60%)	
Luminal	25(6.13%)	21(5.15%)	46(11.27%)	
Luminal infiltrated	57(13.97%)	10(2.45%)	67(16.42%)	
Luminal papillary	28(6.86%)	118(28.92%)	146(35.78%)	
Neuronal	12(2.94%)	4(0.98%)	16(3.92%)	

4
5
6

1 **Table S5. Analysis of six different algorithms and bladder cancer signatures to**
 2 **correlate CCDC8 and molecular subtypes in cohort 3. Bold indicates p-values**
 3 **less than 0.05.**

Characteristics	High(N=174)	Low(N=174)	Total(N=348)	p-value
Baylor subtype				4.4e-8
Basal	130(37.36%)	79(22.70%)	209(60.06%)	
Differentiated	44(12.64%)	95(27.30%)	139(39.94%)	
UNC subtype				7.7e-10
Basal	106(30.46%)	48(13.79%)	154(44.25%)	
Luminal	68(19.54%)	126(36.21%)	194(55.75%)	
CIT subtype				1.2e-18
MC1	17(4.89%)	82(23.56%)	99(28.45%)	
MC2	3(0.86%)	7(2.01%)	10(2.87%)	
MC3	5(1.44%)	12(3.45%)	17(4.89%)	
MC4	85(24.43%)	16(4.60%)	101(29.02%)	
MC5	6(1.72%)	4(1.15%)	10(2.87%)	
MC6	2(0.57%)	0(0.0e+0%)	2(0.57%)	
MC7	56(16.09%)	53(15.23%)	109(31.32%)	
Lund subtype				1.4e-18
Ba/Sq	23(6.61%)	16(4.60%)	39(11.21%)	
Ba/Sq-Inf	26(7.47%)	4(1.15%)	30(8.62%)	
GU	3(0.86%)	24(6.90%)	27(7.76%)	
GU-Inf	14(4.02%)	17(4.89%)	31(8.91%)	
Mes-like	39(11.21%)	10(2.87%)	49(14.08%)	
Sc/NE-like	5(1.44%)	6(1.72%)	11(3.16%)	
Uro-Inf	28(8.05%)	2(0.57%)	30(8.62%)	
UroA-Prog	12(3.45%)	54(15.52%)	66(18.97%)	
UroB	9(2.59%)	18(5.17%)	27(7.76%)	
UroC	15(4.31%)	23(6.61%)	38(10.92%)	
MDA subtype				1.8e-18
Basal	64(18.39%)	37(10.63%)	101(29.02%)	
Luminal	22(6.32%)	102(29.31%)	124(35.63%)	
p53-like	88(25.29%)	35(10.06%)	123(35.34%)	
TCGA subtype				3.4e-17
Basal squamous	61(17.53%)	41(11.78%)	102(29.31%)	
Luminal	3(0.86%)	24(6.90%)	27(7.76%)	
Luminal infiltrated	97(27.87%)	46(13.22%)	143(41.09%)	
Luminal papillary	7(2.01%)	61(17.53%)	68(19.54%)	
Neuronal	6(1.72%)	2(0.57%)	8(2.30%)	

4
5
6

1
2
3