Supplementary data

Table S1. Clinical characteristics of 109 patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma

Variables	HDMTX monotherapy (n = 69)	HDMTX-based polychemotherapies (n = 40)	<i>P</i> value
Age at diagnosis, years‡	64 (39-86)	61 (24-78)	0.061
Sex†			0.746
Male	35 (50.7)	19 (47.5)	
Female	34 (49.3)	21 (52.5)	
Diagnostic strategy†			0.013
Surgical resection	10 (14.5)	14 (35)	
Stereotactic biopsy/CSF analysis	59 (85.5)	26 (65)	
Disease involvement†			0.143
Unifocal	23 (33.3)	19 (47.5)	
Multifocal/primary leptomeningeal	46 (66.7)	21 (52.5)	
Meningeal involvement†			0.006
Present	25 (36.2)	5 (12.5)	
Absent	39 (56.5)	26 (65)	
Unknown	5 (7.3)	9 (22.5)	
Ocular involvement†			<0.001
Present	11 (15.9)	3 (7.5)	
Absent	38 (55.1)	10 (25)	
Unknown	20 (29)	27 (67.5)	
ECOG PS†			0.05
0, 1	18 (26.1)	4 (10)	
≥2	51 (73.9)	36 (90)	
Serum LDH†			0.003
Elevated	9 (13)	15 (27.5)	
Normal	60 (87)	25 (62.5)	
Serum albumin†			0.966
<3.5 g/dL	17 (24.6)	10 (25)	
≥3.5 g/dL	52 (75.4)	30 (75)	
High-risk IELSG score†	19 (27.5)	9 (22.5)	0.562
High-risk MSKCC group†	26 (37.7)	13 (32.5)	0.587
Frontline rituximab†	51 (73.9)	16 (40)	<0.001
Treatment response†			0.192
Overall response	42 (60.9)	25 (62.5)	
CR/CRu	35 (50.7)	21 (52.5)	
PR	7 (10.2)	4 (10)	
SD/PD	24 (34.8)	9 (22.5)	

- ‡ Median (range)
- † Number of patients (%)

(%)

(a) Including four patients (%)

(b) Including four patients with induction death and five patients who were lost to follow up.

Abbreviations: HDMTX, high-dose methotrexate; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IELSG, International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; HDMTX, high-dose methotrexate; CR, complete response; CRu, unconfirmed complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease.

Table S2. Univariate and multivariate analyses of risk factors for examing overall survival in patients with PCNSL

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
Variables	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
Age >60 years	1.36	0.78-2.36	0.282			
Sex (Male vs. Female)	1.45	0.84-2.5	0.186			
Diagnostic strategy@	1.3	0.7–2.41	0.403			
Extent of disease#	1.99	1.08-3.68	0.028*	1.31	0.67-2.58	0.428
Meningeal involvement	1.7	0.94-3.09	0.081			
Ocular involvement	0.63	0.25-1.54	0.306			
ECOG PS (≥2 vs. 0,1)	1.98	0.96-4.09	0.065			
KPS <70	3.92	2.23-6.87	<0.001*			
Serum LDH >1x ULN	5.18	2.75–9.75	<0.001*			
Serum albumin <3.5 g/dL	3.16	1.74–5.75	<0.001*	2.14	1.13-4.04	0.019
High-risk IELSG score	2.14	1.18–3.86	0.012*	1.55	0.83-2.9	0.168
High-risk MSKCC group	3.18	1.84–5.51	<0.001*	2.06	1.15–3.7	0.016
Induction treatment†	1.31	0.73-2.32	0.364			
Frontline rituximab	0.76	0.44-1.31	0.328			
Response to initial therapy‡	3.95	2.27-6.89	<0.001*	3.63	1.96-6.75	< 0.001

[@] Surgery resection versus stereotactic biopsy/cerebrospinal fluid analysis.

Abbreviations: PCNSL, primary central nervous system lymphoma; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ULN, upper limit of normal; IELSG, International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center.

[#] Multifocal/primary leptomeningeal disease versus unifocal lesion.

[†] High-dose methotrexate-based polychemotherapies versus High-dose methotrexate monotherapy.

[‡] Stable disease/progressive disease/not evaluable versus complete response/unconfirmed complete response/partial response.

^{*}All potential prognostic factors for overall survival with a *P* value less than 0.05 in the univariate analysis were selected for multivariate analysis, except for those redundant with IELSG or MSKCC prognostic score.

Table S3. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients who responded to the induction therapy

	With	Without		
Variables	consolidation/	consolidation/	Dyales	
Variables	maintenance	maintenance	P value	
	(n = 51)	(n = 16)		
Age at diagnosis, years‡	60 (39-84)	63 (24-86)	0.994	
Sex†			0.392	
Male	27 (52.9)	6 (37.5)		
Female	24 (47.1)	10 (62.5)		
Diagnostic strategy†			0.029	
Surgical resection	7 (13.7)	7 (43.7)		
Stereotactic biopsy/CSF analysis	44 (86.3)	9 (56.3)		
Disease involvement†			0.775	
Unifocal	22 (43.1)	8 (50)		
Multifocal/primary leptomeningeal	29 (56.9)	8 (50)		
Meningeal involvement†			0.291	
Present	12 (23.5)	7 (43.7)		
Absent	34 (66.7)	8 (50)		
Unknown	5 (9.8)	1 (6.3)		
Ocular involvement†			0.169	
Present	8 (15.7)	3 (18.7)		
Absent	26 (51)	4 (25)		
Unknown	17 (33.3)	9 (56.3)		
ECOG PS†			0.527	
0, 1	15 (39.4)	3 (18.7)		
≥2	36 (70.6)	13 (81.3)		
Serum LDH†			0.05	
Elevated	3 (5.9)	4 (25)		
Normal	48 (94.1)	12 (75)		
Serum albumin†			0.716	
<3.5 g/dL	8 (15.7)	3 (18.7)		
≥3.5 g/dL	43 (84.3)	13 (81.3)		
High-risk IELSG score†	10 (19.6)	4 (25)	0.73	
High-risk MSKCC group†	12 (23.5)	7 (43.7)	0.202	
Induction treatment†			0.036	
HDMTX monotherapy	36 (70.6)	6 (37.5)		
HDMTX-based polychemotherapy	15 (39.4)	10 (62.5)		
Frontline rituximab†	33 (64.7)	9 (56.3)	0.566	
Response to initial therapy†			0.042	
CR/CRu	40 (78.4)	16 (100)		
PR	11 (21.6)	0 (0)		

[‡] Median (range)

† Number of patients (%)
Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IELSG, International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; HDMTX, high-dose methotrexate; CR, complete response; CRu, unconfirmed complete response; PR, partial response.

Supplementary figure legends

Fig. S1 Kaplan–Meier curves for (A) overall survival and (B) progression-free survival in patients with primary nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL).

Fig. S2 Kaplan–Meier survival curves in 18 patients with stable disease/progressive disease who responded to the subsequent salvage therapies, stratified by the adoption of consolidation treatment or not.

Patients with consolidation treatment had a trend of better overall survival (OS)

(A) and progression-free survival (PFS) (B) than those without consolidation treatment. Notably, OS and PFS were calculated from the time of achieving a complete or partial response after salvage treatment.

Fig. S3 Kaplan–Meier survival curves in patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma who responded to the induction chemotherapy, stratified by the types of induction chemotherapy and the adoption of consolidation/maintenance (cons./main.) treatment or not. In the high-dose methotrexate (HDMTX)-monotherapy group (A), the patients with cons./main. treatment had a trend of better overall survival (OS) as compared to those without treatment. Similarly, in the HDMTX-based-polychemotherapy group (B), the patients being treated also had a trend of better OS as compared to the patients without cons./main. treatment. Notably, OS was calculated from

the time of achieving a complete or partial response after induction treatment.

Fig. S1









